



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.43, No.46

November 23rd, 2007

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: Denis, the Immigration Menace: We have learned that the original brilliant idea to tell our political traitors that we want immigration reduced came from that veteran immigration critic Denis McCormack. His suggestion first appeared in the Endeavour journal. He suggested that we write **REDUCE IMMIGRATION** on the very top of the ballot paper above the thick white line which has the Commonwealth government seal of the kangaroo and emu. Placed there it won't obscure your vote.

He wrote: How easy is this for millions of us to do on election day? With both ballot papers in hand, walk into the privacy of the voting booth. Number the squares in any way you wish for your valid vote. In the clear, blank space of about one centimetre across the top of both ballot papers write: **REDUCE IMMIGRATION**. This can't obscure your numbered squares and therefore won't invalidate your vote. Fold both forms, walk out of the voting booth, drop them into the respective Reps and Senate ballot boxes on the way out...so easy!

So, spread the word, ring up your local talk-back radio, talk to people about the idea and ask them to pass on the word!

FOR WHOM DO WE VOTE? by Betty Luks: It is not unusual to receive requests from folk to advise them who would be a good choice for their vote for the House of Representatives and/or for the Senate in the looming federal elections. It is not our place to do that, and we would hope that each responsible voter had checked out for themselves the Senate candidates for their own State, and House of Representative candidates in their own electorates. How can a responsible vote be made otherwise?

Don't we judge by their fruits? As for those members of Parliament who are standing for re-election, surely the voters will judge by the results they can see all round them – whilst not forgetting what happened under Labor before the Coalition gained office.

What we can do is make known some of the minor Independents and/or minor party Candidates known to us who, we believe, would act as genuine representatives for their own State and/or Electorate.

No person or group has approached us to do this, in fact, there could be those who would prefer we didn't. But we do it for our readers.

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *For Whom Do We Vote?* • *Surrender Australia?* • *Short On Logic?* • *Let's Call It For What It Is – Treason!* • *The Disease Of Marxism*

We feel sure each of the following would also need what help you could give them - financial and otherwise.

Senate candidates:

- Western Australia: Graeme Campbell. Contact number: Mobile 0418 925 946
- South Australia: Nick Zenophon. Contact number: 1300 727 909
- Queensland: Pauline Hanson. Contact number: Phone 07 3293 1444

Independent/Party Candidates for House of Representatives:

- Independent for the Murray (Victoria) Diane Teasdale. Contact number: 03 5831 3826
- Christian Democratic Party (Fred Nile Group)
- One Nation
- Family First Party

SURRENDER AUSTRALIA? by James Reed: It is worth recalling that John Howard's Science, Engineering and Innovation Council said in a report that the federal government should remove (the few remaining) immigration barriers to allow "the best" Chinese and Indian students to remain in Australia. (*The Australian*, 14/6/06, p.31) Australia can ride "on the coat tails" of the magical, fantastic, exciting, booming Asian giants of India and China but only if universities can capture god-like Indian and Chinese students – and that requires producing world-class infrastructures.

At present Australia does not attract the top Chinese and Indian students. Well, why bother – if Australians have to build "world-class infrastructure" why not train our own students to do better than the Indian and Chinese students? How about a bit of pride in our own kind for a change!

What's that? Oh – I'm sorry – for a moment I forgot that "our government" is not a government for us, but a government to eliminate us, in serving the tyrannical orientalist, new world order.

SHORT ON LOGIC by James Reed: We are so excited today! Here we are at the University of Melbourne attending a lecture by Professor Roger Short on reproductive biology. And here is a summary of some of the topics covered: "Peter Costello is a bloody idiot for talking up fertility. Australia will become an Indonesian colony, courtesy of 'climate change'. A new world order will be led by China with its exciting one-child policy." ("Professor Rants About Doom Under PM Costello," *The Australian*, 26/10/07, p.3) The good professor also said that the U.S. would be "consigned to the dustbin quicker than they might imagine" by Hispanic migration.

But wait! If it's so exciting to be ruled by China and Indonesia, how about the professor giving up his job to a Chinese or Indonesian academic? If this is the sort of nonsense peddled at universities, the sooner universities are closed down and replaced by alternative training institutions, the better.

LET'S CALL IT FOR WHAT IT IS – TREASON! Peter Hitchens in *The Mail on Sunday*, United Kingdom. 4/11/2007:

How will we be able to look our grandchildren in the face when they ask what did you do to stop Britain's taken over by a foreign power – and that includes even you Lady Thatcher This is too frightening and too important to ignore any longer. If we don't want to become a neglected outstation of the European Superstate, stripped of our nationhood, powerless to decide who lives here, controlled by laws we don't make and can't change, ruled by a government we cannot throw out, we have rather a short time in which to do something about it. You may think none of this matters to you, but the trouble is that it does, whether you think so or not.

The European Union is interested in you, your liberty and your money, even if you don't care about it. Its decisions affect your life, even if you don't realise they do. When I point out that local councils are changing rubbish collections because of E.U. laws, people don't believe me. They rightly think it ridiculous that such things should be affected by what is supposed to be a partnership. But they are. A huge number of our laws have been drawn up in Brussels and hurried through Parliament without anybody really understanding what they were doing. A lot of us still don't even grasp why it is that we can no longer have nice blue British passports.

They also don't grasp why they have to queue for ages to get back into the country after a holiday. They aren't paying attention. That passport you have isn't British. It's European. It gives you no more right to enter this country than a Lithuanian.

The border you are crossing is the border of the E.U., not Britain. If the Government set up a special channel for U.K. passport holders it would be breaking E.U. law. There is no longer any such thing as a British passport.

This has another grim meaning. We cannot control two thirds of the immigration now revolutionising this country because it comes from E.U. states.

British people have a way of ignoring the Continent then finding out just in time that what happens there matters – Dunkirk being the most recent example of this complacent folly. We probably won't get another Dunkirk to warn us. By the time it is clear to everyone what has happened, it will be far too late.

Look at the row we are having, a rather lukewarm row in my view, about the European Constitution, dressed up as the Treaty of Lisbon but still what it always was – the official foundation document of the European Superstate. At first it looks as if there are two sides, those for a referendum, and those against.

But what use would a referendum be? Who seriously believes that if Britain said 'No', the E.U. would say, 'Oh, sorry to have troubled you with our silly, over-ambitious idea. We'll give it up for good'.

No, they would threaten and suborn the British Government into holding the vote again. Or they would have yet another summit in which the thing would be adjusted a tiny bit and presented as if it were new. Or they would say: 'Very well then, if you don't like it why not leave?'

Gordon Brown might hold a referendum on the very subject. At this point we would badly need a major political figure to stand up and say: 'Yes please let's leave'.

He could add: 'After all, if Norway and Switzerland can cope outside, we certainly can. And I defy anyone to tell me one single way in which this country has benefited from its long entanglement with this horrible organisation.'

But this will not happen. Our entire political elite, in all parties, love the E.U., not because it is good or the country, but because it is good for them. They love its regular service of gravy trains, carrying off failed Ministers off to a life of high salaries, big expenses and huge pensions, plus an almost total absence of responsibility.

They don't mind at all that it deprives them of the power to do very much. They are, for the most part, short of ideas and lazy, and happy to be able to pass the buck to Brussels while enjoying their pay and perks.

Note, specially, the behaviour of the Tory Party. People sometimes ask why I call them 'useless'. Well, here's an example. You get a lot of something called "Euroscepticism" from Tories. It's a stupid

word and it describes a worthless thing. They act as if they are against the E.U. grabbing our power and money, and talk sternly about how they disapprove.

But David Cameron, William Hague and Malcolm Rifkin are clear that if the Lisbon Treaty is ratified, that will be that. In the (highly unlikely) event of them coming to power, they won't hold a referendum because, oh dear, it will be too late.

In doing this, they are part of a great tradition. Harold Macmillan first sought British entry to the Common Market in 1962. Then Ted Heath succeeded in getting it, ramming our membership through Parliament with characteristic ruthlessness and sacrificing Britain's fisheries industry [and I would add space programme] for his ambition.

When in 1975, Harold Wilson held a referendum [3 years too late] on staying in, Margaret Thatcher campaigned vigorously for Britain to remain in the Market, sporting a jumper bearing flags of member states.

When she came to office, she pushed through the Single European Act, a huge surrender of British vetoes. Then she was bludgeoned by Cabinet colleagues into entering the Exchange rate Mechanism. By the end of her premiership, she had begun to realise what was at stake. But it was precisely because of this that the Tory Party then threw her out of office.

John Major then went on to browbeat his MPs into voting for the Maastricht Treaty. Yet another huge surrender of independence. Mr. Cameron represents a firm return to the Europhile days before Lady Thatcher's rebellion.

When it comes to action, the Tory party will continue to support the E.U. because they have been committed to it since the sixties, and cannot admit that it was a mistake. But they also recognise how unpopular it is, which is why they pretend to be hostile and invented "Euroscepticism" to console disgruntled voters.

The longer this goes on, the harder it will be to unscramble. My advice is not to be diverted by campaigns for a referendum that will get us nowhere. It is to consider very carefully, whether you will be able to look your children and grandchildren in the face when, 20 years hence, they ask: "What did you do to stop your country being taken over by a foreign power?" [and I would add not a very pleasant bunch of politicians either].

Hitchens promises: I shall continue, week by week, to suggest ways in which you might be able to ensure that you that they never need to ask that question.

THE DISEASE OF MARXISM by James Reed: If you thought that Karl Marx was crazy and Marxism insane, then you may be right. Dr. Sam Shuster in an article in the November 2007 *British Journal of Dermatology* has presented evidence that the father of modern communism, Karl Marx, suffered a disease *hidradenitis suppurativa*. This skin condition results in blackheads, boils and spots that ooze pus.

Marx himself complained about boils and carbuncles, often a product of dirty conditions, said to be a "truly proletarian disease". The illness produces a condition of alienation and self-loathing and it is speculated that this condition of psychological distress could have motivated Marx's work.

Political comment authorised by Donald Auchterlonie, 145 Russell Street, Melbourne, 3000

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$40.00 p.a.